Case: 2:22-cv-00773-ALM-ART-BJB Doc #: 217 Filed: 08/22/22 Page: 1 of 2 PAGEID #: 6467

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DISTRICT

MICHAEL GONIDAKIS, ET AL. : CASE NO. 2:22-CV-773

:

PLAINTIFFS : CHIEF JUDGE ALGENON L.

MARBLEY

VS.

: CIRCUIT JUDGE AMUL R.

FRANK LAROSE, : THAPAR

:

DEFENDANTS. : JUDGE BENJAMIN J. BEATON

NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

Intervenor Plaintiffs, the Honorable Reverend Kenneth L. Simon, the Honorable Reverend Lewis W. Macklin, II and Helen Youngblood (hereinafter "the Simon Parties") respectfully hereby give notice of supplemental authority that bears directly on the Simon Parties' pending motion to stay this Court's May 12, 2022 Order, ECF Docket #201, denying the Simon Parties' motion to enjoin certification of any and all election results, primary or general, for the Ohio General Assembly, conducted under Map 3 of the Reapportionment of the Ohio General Assembly, including the August 2, 2022 Primary Election.

The supplemental authority is the August 19, 2022 Order of the United States Supreme Court in Case No. 22A136, 597 U. S. _____, Rose, Richard, et al. v. Ratnensberger, Secretary of State of Ga., (See, Ex. A). This Authority states that a motion to stay pending appeal of an election dispute arising under the Voting Rights act, 52 U.S.C. \\$10301(a)-(b) should be analyzed in accordance with traditional equitable principles versus the Purcell principle, set forth in Purcell v. Gonzalez, 549 U.S. 1(2006), where, as here, sufficient time remains to enable effectual relief in relation to the November election.

Although the Rose case, unlike the Simon claim, arose in connection with a

challenge to an at-large electoral system in a State with a run off and majority vote

requirement, while the Simon claim is a nomination claim in a state with neither a majority

vote nor run off requirement, the Supreme Court finding that Purcell is not the proper

analytical framework for resolution of a motion to stay, should be heeded in connection

with resolution of the Simon Parties' pending motion to stay because relief can be accorded

to Simon without any disruption to the upcoming November election.

This Honorable Court has not yet ruled on the Simon Parties' motion to stay (ECF

Docket #207) or to alter or amend (ECF Docket #202).

/s/ Percy Squire_

Percy Squire (0022010)

Percy Squire Co., LLC

341 S. Third Street, Suite 10

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 224-6528, Telephone

(614) 224-6529, Facsimile

psquire@sp-lawfirm.com

Attorney for Simon Party Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served by

operation of the United States District Court, Southern District of Ohio electronic filing

system, on August 22, 2022.

s/Percy Squire, Esq.

Percy Squire (0022010)

Attorney for Simon Party Plaintiffs

2